```
Kirk D. Miller, WSBA No. 40025
 1
    Kirk D. Miller, P.S.
    421 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 660
 2
    Spokane, WA 99201
    (509) 413-1494 Telephone
 3
    (509) 413-1724 Facsimile
 4
    Shayne J. Sutherland, WSBA No. 44593
    Brian G. Cameron, WSBA No. 44905
 5
    Cameron Sutherland, PLLC
 6
    421 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 660
    Spokane, WA 99201
    (509) 315-4507 Telephone
 7
    (509) 315-4585 Facsimile
 8
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                     EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10
    JAMES DEARING,
                                          Case No.:
11
                Plaintiff,
                                          COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
12
          VS.
                                          JURY DEMANDED
13
    PORTFOLIO RECOVERY
    ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Delaware
14
    limited liability company,
15
                Defendant.
16
                                   INTRODUCTION
                             I.
17
          Plaintiff James Dearing ("Plaintiff"), a Washington resident, brings this action
18
    by and through his undersigned counsel, against Defendant Portfolio Recovery
19
    Associates, LLC ("Defendant PRA"), and alleges the following:
20
    ////
     COMPLAINT - 1
21
```

JURISDICTION AND VENUE II. 1 2.1 2 Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k (d), 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. If applicable, the Court also has pendent 3 4 jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 5 § 1367(a). 2.2 Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 6 7 1291(b)(2). NATURE OF THE ACTION 8 III. 3.1 Plaintiff brings this action for damages for Defendant PRA's actions of 9 using unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt. 10 11 3.2 Defendant PRA's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collection 12 Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from 13 14 engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. 15 3.3 Plaintiff is seeking damages, declaratory, and injunctive relief. 16 IV. **PARTIES** 4.1 17 Plaintiff is a natural person, a resident of Washington State, and is a 18 "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(3). 19 4.2 Defendant PRA is a "debt collector," as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 20 1692a(6). COMPLAINT - 2 21

1	5.6	In June 2018, Defendant PRA obtained a default judgment against
2		Plaintiff.
3	5.7	In August 2018, Defendant PRA obtained a writ of garnishment against
4		Plaintiff's financial institution.
5	5.8	At or about the same date that the writ of garnishment was sent to the
6		Plaintiff's financial institution, Defendant PRA, through its counsel
7		also sent an exemption claim form to the Plaintiff.
8	5.9	The exemption claim form sent to Plaintiff is a "communication" under
9		15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
10	5.10	RCW 6.27.130 requires that an exemption claim form be served or
11		mailed to the judgment debtor.
12	5.11	The exemption claim form found at RCW 6.27.140 is a mandatory form
13		that must be printed or typed in no smaller than size twelve-point for
14		and contain the statutorily-required contents.
15	5.12	The RCW 6.27.140 exemption claim form contains check boxes for
16		claiming specific exemptions for money in a financial institution
17		including the following:
18		IF BANK ACCOUNT IS GARNISHED:
19		[] The account contains payments from: []Temporary assistance for needy
20		families, SSI, or other public assistance. I receive \$ monthly.
21	COMPLAINT - 4	

1		[] Social Security. I receive \$	
2		monthly. [] Veterans' Benefits. I receive \$	
3		monthly. []Federally qualified pension, such as a	
4		state or federal pension, individual retirement account (IRA), or 401K plan. I receive \$ monthly.	
5		[]Unemployment Compensation. I	
6		receive \$ monthly. [] Child support. I receive \$	
7		monthly.	
7		[]Other. Explain	
8		[]\$2,500 exemption for private student loan debts.	
9		[]\$500 exemption for all other debts.	
		IF EXEMPTION IN BANK ACCOUNT	
10		IS CLAIMED, ANSWER ONE OR	
		BOTH OF THE FOLLOWING:	
11		[]No money other than from above	
		payments are in the account.	
12		[] Moneys in addition to the above	
13		payments have been deposited in the account. Explain	
13		account. Expram	
14	5 12	Defendant PRA removed two of the exemptions from the exemption	
15	3.13	Defendant I KA Temoved two of the exemptions from the exemption	
		claim form.	
16			
	5.14	The removed exemptions are those which allow for: 1) exemption of	
17		Φ2500: 1 1	
18		\$2500 in student loan money; and 2) the \$500 cash exemption.	
10	5.15	Defendant PRA's removal of these exemptions was intentional and	
19	3.13	Defendant 1101 5 femovar of these exemptions was intentional and	
		systematic.	
20			
21	COMPLAINT - 5		
<u> </u>	I .		

- 5.16 Defendant PRA has sent out at least hundreds of exemption claim forms to Washington residents with the aforementioned exemptions removed.
- 5.17 Defendant PRA's removal of the exemptions from the checkbox list makes it more difficult for judgment debtors to claim exemptions.
- 5.18 Removing the exemptions that may be claimed by checking a box may influence how and whether a consumer will respond to a garnishment.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f, et seq.

- 6.1 Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 6.2 Defendant PRA's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f of the FDCPA.
- 6.3 15 U.S.C. § 1692e states that a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 6.4 15 U.S.C. § 1692f states that a debt collector may not use any unfair or unconscionable means to collect a debt.

COMPLAINT - 6

- 6.5 Defendant PRA's removal of meaningful information from the exemption claim form is unfair, unconscionable, and violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 6.6 By reason thereof, Defendant PRA is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant PRA's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorney's fees.

VII. REQUEST FOR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

- 7.1 Preserve all forms of electronic data, regardless of where the data exists, without modification to or deletion of any potentially discoverable data;
- 7.2 Suspend all procedures that may alter or delete computer data;
- 7.3 Prevent deleting, overwriting, defragmenting, or compressing the data;
- 7.4 Preserve all archived back-up tapes and ensure that (a) if archive tapes are rotated, the relevant tapes are removed from the rotation; and (b) if backups are made to hard drives, preserve the hard drive as well;
- 7.5 Preserve the contents of all hard drives, network drives, tape drives, optical drives, floppy disks, CD and DVD drives, and all other types of drives or media that are within the possession, custody, or control COMPLAINT 7

1		of all people who have knowledge of relevant facts and those who
2		work with them, such as assistants;
3	7.6	Preserve the contents of all information on portable computers—such
4		as laptops, palmtops, or tablets—used by those people, as well as
5		home computers, if these are used for work purposes;
6	7.7	Preserve the contents of all data on computers that were used since the
7		limitations period on the lawsuit began (for example; five years prior
8		to filing) but that are no longer in use; and
9	7.8	Disclose electronic information in the Rule 26 initial disclosures.
10		VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
11	WHE	EREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant PRA as
12	follows:	
13	8.1	Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) of
14		\$1,000.00;
15	8.2	Awarding Plaintiff actual damages sustained under the FDCPA;
16	8.3	Reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
17		1692k(a)(3);
18	8.4	Declaratory judgment that Defendant PRA's practices complained of
19		herein violate the FDCPA;
20		
21	COMPLAINT - 8	
	1	

1	8.5 Injunctive relief permanently enjoining Defendant PRA's practice
2	complained of herein; and
3	8.6 Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
4	DATED this 6 th day of May, 2019.
5	Kirk D. Miller, P.S.
6	/s Kirk D. Miller
7	Kirk D. Miller, WSBA No. 40025 421 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 660
8	Spokane, WA 99201 kmiller@millerlawspokane.com
9	Attorney for Plaintiff
10	Cameron Sutherland, PLLC
11	/s Shayne J. Sutherland
12	Shayne Sutherland, WSBA No. 44593 Brian G. Cameron, WSBA No. 44905
13	421 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 660 Spokane, WA 99201
14	bcameron@cameronsutherland.com ssutherland@cameronsutherland.com
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	COMPLAINT - 9